

Solutions to protect life

A new SIF (solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence) product derived from TROPOMI onboard Sentinel-5 Precursor

ESA TROPOSIF project





Consortium:

NOVELTIS: C.Bacour, L. Grignon, M. El Hajj UPV: L. Guanter SRON: I. Aben, A. Schneider LSCE: F. Maignan ESA: C. Retscher



Sustainable development



27/04/2021 NOV-0956-SL-056 © NOVELTIS | This document is the property of NOVELTIS and may not be reproduced or communicated without its authorization.



- Chlorophyll fluorescence is an electromagnetic signal emitted by the photosynthetic machinery of green plants that can be linked to instantaneous photosynthesis.
- First global measurements of SIF over land achieved in late 2011 from GOSAT spectra (Frankenberg et al., Joiner et al.).
- It has been proven to be a better **indicator of terrestrial photosynthesis activity** and gross primary production (GPP) than reflectance-based vegetation indices







GOSAT-SIF



• SIF can be retrieved in the solar and atmospheric absorption lines, which facilitates the retrieval of the weak SIF signal from the surface reflectance

• Atmospheric spectrometers provide the required spectral and radiometric resolutions

	GOME-2	SCIAMACHY	GOSAT	OCO-2	TROPOMI
Spatial resolution	40x40 km ²	30x60 km ²	0.5x0.5 km ²	1.3x2.25 km ²	3 km x 7 km²
Revisit frequency	3 days	6 days	3 days	16 days	~ 1 day
Overpass time	9:30	10:00	13:00	13:30	13:30
Spectral range	650-790 nm SIF@685nm SIF@740 nm	650-790 nm	755-775 nm	Micro windows at 757 nm and 770 nm	675-775 nm SIF@740nm SIF@683nm
Spectral resolution	~ 0.5 nm	~ 0.5 nm	~ 0.025 nm	~ 0.05 nm	0.38 nm

- Challenge: to decouple SIF from the solar radiation reflected by the surface and the atmosphere
- Evaluation of the fractional depth of solar Fraunhofer lines → not affected by atmospheric scattering, simple modelling.



27/04/2021 NOV-0956-SL-056 © NOVELTIS | This document is the property of NOVELTIS and may not be reproduced or communicated without its authorization.



- Data-driven retrieval implemented for far-red fitting windows (743-758 nm and 735-758 nm) based on *Guanter* et al. (2015)
- Linear Forward model based on Singular Vectors derived from vegetation-free areas
- Easy implementation and fast processing (~5 min/orbit in a desktop computer)
- Input data: only L1B_RAD and cloud fraction (L2_Cloud) products

$$L_{\text{TOA}} = \frac{\mu_{\text{s}} I_{\text{sc}}}{\pi} \left[\rho_0 + \frac{\rho_{\text{s}} T_{\downarrow\uparrow}}{1 - S \rho_{\text{s}}} \right] + \frac{F_{\text{s}} T_{\uparrow}}{1 - S \rho_{\text{s}}},$$
$$F(a, \alpha, F_{\text{s}}) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_{\text{p}}} a_i \lambda^i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{v}}} \alpha_j v_j \right) + F_{\text{s}} h_{\text{F}} \cdot T_{\uparrow}^{\text{e}}$$
$$F'(a, \alpha, F_{\text{s}}) = v_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n_{\text{p}}} a_i \lambda^i + \sum_{j=2}^{n_{\text{v}}} \alpha_j v_j + F_{\text{s}} h_{\text{F}} \cdot T_{\uparrow}^{\text{e}}$$

- 735-758 nm fitting window: 7



Singular vectors



- Measurement noise is the main error source: variations in atmospheric conditions (cloud aside), angles or surface reflectance are negligible for the two fitting windows
- Width of the fitting window drives 1-sigma errors
- SIF (esp. from 743-758 nm) is much less sensitive to clouds than reflectance-based indices.

Fitting window selection:

- Compromise between random error (favours 735-758 nm) and sensitivity to clouds (favours 743-758 nm)
- Retrievals from the 743-758 nm window is the primary SIF product





• The calculation of 1-sigma retrieval errors based on empirical SIFerr - TOA Radiance curves



Input data summary

- L1B_RA_BD6 (dynamic)
- L2__CLOUD (dynamic)
- Land Cover Map (static)
- SIF spectrum (static)
- TOA irradiance spectrum (static)





Data Format

- netCDF-4
- L2 product:
 - Ungridded data available for each TROPOSIF orbit
 - SIF estimates at 740 nm from the two fitting windows and associated retrieval error
 - 743-748 nm : baseline product
 - 735-748 nm : more «experimental»
 - Daily corrected SIF in the two fitting windows
 - Surface reflectance at 665, 680, 712, 741, 755, 773 and 781 nm
 - Solar and view angles, TOA radiance, Cloud fraction
 - Quality flag

• L2B product:

- Ungridded daily files (similar to the Caltech product Köhler et al. (2018))
- Contains only the valid retrievals
- Covered time period: May 2018 to December 2020

Data availability

- project web site: <u>https://s5p-troposif.noveltis.fr/</u>
- L2B data (for now): <u>ftp://ftp.sron.nl/open-access-data-2/TROPOMI/tropomi/sif/v2.0/l2b/</u>
- The TROPOSIF product will be generated and distributed to users by S5P-PAL in an operational manner in the near- future.



Characterization of the mean error and bias over Sahara

Comparison against Caltech and OCO-2 SIF products

- $-\,$ estimates aggregated at 0.1 $^{\circ}$ / daily , CF < 0.05 $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$
- SIF(OCO2) = 1.56 × (SIF@757nm + 1.8 × SIF@771mm)/2. (Köhler et al. (2018))

Mean bias (mW.m⁻².sr⁻¹.nm⁻¹)

27/04/2021

	OCO-2 @740nm	Caltech 🖁	TROPOSIF - 743nm	TROPOSIF - 735nm]
Sahara	0.0098	-0.0357 5	-0.0277	-0.0059	daily corrected SIF
	(0.0308)	(-0.1047) b	(-0.0805)	(-0.0173)	(raw SIF)

0

F

5

Mean random error (mW.m⁻².sr⁻¹.nm⁻¹)





Distribution of the daily SIF estimates from Caltech and TROPOSIF products over 10 Pseudo-Invariant Calibration Sites (*Bacour et al., 2019*)

The slope of the temporal variation of the SIF data over 2018–2020 is close to 0 ; no temporal drift was detercted for any of the sites

а



Global scale evaluation

- Cross-comparison between TROPOSIF (743 nm), Caltech and OCO-2
- Daily data / 0.1° resolution, Cloud Fraction (CF) < 0.2
- Daily corrected SIF



August 2019

We generally observe that:

- SIF(TROPOSIF) > SIF(Caltech)
- SIF(OCO2) > SIF(Caltech)
- SIF(OCO2) ~ SIF(TROPOSIF)



Product Validation

Biome scale evaluation

- Time series comparison for an ensemble of "homogeneous" pixels - biome representative (*Bacour et al., 2019*)
- Several clusters for each biome (14 Plant functional Types)
- Weekly / 0.5 °aggregation ; CF < 0.2 ; VZA < 40°

Illustration of compared SIF seasonal variations for 3 PFTs



Distribution of 2 clusters for each PFT



Temporal correlation



- higher agreement between the 2 TROPOMI products than with OCO-2 (similar sampling for the TROPOMI products and higher number of observations within the 0.5° pixels that reduces the retrieval error)
- OCO-2 in closer agreement with TROPOSIF than with Caltech



Overview of the TROPOSIF products

Yearly SIF average (2019) / daily / 0.1°, Cloud Fraction < 0.2



Number of daily SIF estimates at 0.1°, CF < 0.2

nobs Y2019



- The difference between Caltech and TROPOSIF are largely explained by the different Cloud Fraction products used :
- Caltech: VIIRS
- TROPOSIF: TROPOMI L2_Cloud



Overview of the TROPOSIF products

Comparison between TROPOSIF-743nm and TROPOSIF-735nm at sites





Overview of the TROPOSIF products

NDVI and NIRv 8-15 July 2019



Relationships between SIF(743nm), NDVI and NIRv, and with FluxSat-GPP

